CS 168: Blockchain and Cryptocurrencies



Mining Pools

Prof. Tom Austin San José State University

Review: Bitcoin mining

- Miners verify transactions
 - -Must find a proof-of-work.
 - -Reward: newly generated bitcoins, plus transaction fees.
- One-CPU-one-vote
- Key to Bitcoin's decentralization

Bitcoin Mining Rig, 2009



Bitcoin Hash Rate Over Time



Bitcoin Mining Rig(s), today



Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC)

- Speed up mining
- Expensive
- Off-the-shelf hardware cannot compete
 On the other hand, neither can botnets
- Result: mining is less decentralized

Mining Pools

- Share rewards for steadier payout
 Less BTC now > more BTC later
- Operator collects fee for coordinating
- A variety of mining pool schemes exist
- Meni Rosenfeld, *Analysis of Bitcoin Pooled Mining Reward Systems*, 2011.

Problem:

How does the operator trust its miners?

Mining Pool "Shares"

- Coordinator determines blocks.
- Miners report "shares" to coordinator.
 –"Near misses"
 - -Easier target (about 1000x easier)
- So share of mining rewards are determined by a PoW.

Pay-Per-Share (PPS)

- Operator *immediately* pays for shares
- Operator absorbs cost of paying shares
- Immune to pool hopping attacks
 Discussed later
- Higher operator overhead (fees)

Lab, Part 1: PPS Mining Pool in SpartanGold

- Download
 - driver.js
 - pool-operator.js
 - pool-miner.js
- Run driver.js to see the simulation
- Review operator and pool operator code

Proportional Reward (PROP)

- Finding shares earns *future* rewards
- Everyone gets paid when proof is found
- Vulnerable to *pool hopping attack*

Pool Hopping Attack

A miner can:

- Find a share early in the search for a new block.
- Continue to benefit from the mining work of other miners in the pool.
- Switch to a new pool.

Rewards exceed the rewards of playing fairly.

Pool Hopping Example (in-class)

Lab, Part 2: Implement PROP Pool Operator

- Extend PoolOperator to make PropPoolOperator
- Override rewardMiner and payRewards methods to implement PROP strategy.

Pay Per Last N Shares (PPLNS)

Same as PROP, except ...

- Rewards are only paid to the last N shares
- Introduces time element, but keeps operator overhead low
- Dis-incentivizes pool hopping
- Dominant approach today

Other attacks

- *Sabotage* (aka vigilante attack)
 - Miner submits shares, but throws away proofs
 - Miner benefits when pool wins
 - Pool does not benefit from miner's hashing power
- *Lie in wait* attack. A miner in a pool:
 - Finds a valid block, but withholds it
 - Searches for a bunch of additional shares
 - Announces block after gathering extra shares

Lab, Part 3: Implement PPLNS Pool Operator

- Extend PoolOperator to make PplnsPoolOperator
- Override rewardMiner and payRewards methods to implement PPLNS strategy.