The Recursion Theorem

CS154 Chris Pollett May 8, 2006.

Outline

• The Recursion Theorem

Towards The Recursion Theorem

- One interesting property of living things is that they can reproduce that is, they can produce "exact" copies of themselves.
- Can machines do this? As a first step:
- **Lemma.** There is a computable function $q: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$, where if w is any string q(w) is the description of a Turing Machine P_w that prints out w and then halts.

Proof.

Q = "On input w:

- 1. Construct the following TM P_{w} .
 - $P_w =$ "On any input:
 - 1. Erase the input.
 - 2. Write *w* on the tape.
 - 3. Halt."
- 2. Output $< P_w >$."

SELF

- Using Q of the last slide we next describe a machine SELF which ignores its own input and prints it TM description to the output.
- SELF consists of two parts A and B.
- *A* runs first and then passes control to *B*. *A* is the machine $P_{\langle B \rangle}$ where *B* is: "On input $\langle M \rangle$, where *M* is a portion of a TM:
 - 1. Compute $q(\langle M \rangle)$.
 - 2. Combine the result with $\langle M \rangle$ to make a complete TM.
 - 3. Print the description of this TM and halt."
- So once A is done the tape has $\langle B \rangle$ on it.
- *B* then computes $q(\langle M \rangle) = \langle P_{\langle B \rangle} \rangle = \langle A \rangle$ and concatenates $\langle B \rangle$ with some extra state to make this into a whole machine. This give $\langle AB \rangle = \langle SELF \rangle$ back.
- One way to see this construction works is to consider the following English sentence:

Print the next phrase in quotes twice the second time in quotes: "Print the next phrase in quotes twice the second time in quotes:"

• *B* is like the phrase: Print the next phrase in quotes twice the second time in quotes: ; *A* is the phrase with quotes around it.

The Recursion Theorem

- We can generalize the above argument to allow machines to compute with their own descriptions
- **Theorem.** Let *T* be a TM that computes a function $t: \sum^* x \sum^* \sum^*$. There is a Turing machine *R* that computes a function $r: \sum^* \sum^*$, where for every *w*,

 $r(w) = t(\langle R \rangle, w).$

Proof. The proof is like the construction of *SELF* except now we have the machine *T* besides *A* and *B*, and *R* will be a combined machine ABT. In the current construction $A=P'_{< BT>}$. Here $P'_{< BT>}$ is like $P_{< BT>}$ except it prints <BT> after the input and a #. We design a *q*' so it looks for a #, sees the string *v* that follows it and, and appends $<P'_{<v>}$ to the input. So after *A* runs the tape has w#<*BT*> on it. Now *B* applies *q*' to the output of *A* to get w#<*BT*><*P*'_{<*BT>} = w#<<i>BT*><*A*>, and then reformats this as <<*ABT*>, w>. It then starts *T*. Notice <*R*> = <*ABT*>.</sub>

Applications of the Recursion Theorem

- The recursion theorem allows one to design TM subroutines of the form " obtain your own description".
- For instance, *SELF* could be rewritten as: *SELF*= "On any input:
 - 1. Obtain, via the recursion theorem, own description *<SELF>*.
 - 2. Print *<SELF*>."
- The obtain your own description statement is implemented by first writing the machine:
 - T= "On any input *<*M, w>:
 - 1. Print <M> and halt."
- Then the recursion theorem says how to get a machine *R* which on input *w* acts like *T* on input *<R*, *w>*.

More Applications

- We can use the recursion theorem to give an alternative proof that A_{TM} is undecidable:
- First, suppose A_{TM} were decidable by machine *H*. Then consider the machine *B*:
 - B="On input w:
 - 1. Obtain, via the recursion theorem, own description $\langle B \rangle$.
 - 2. Run *H* on *<B*, *w>*.
 - 3. Do the opposite of what *H* does."

So running *B* on input *w* does the opposite of what *H* on *<B*, *w>* does. So H can't decide A_{TM} .

The Fixed-Point Theorem

- A fixed point of a function f is a value x such that f(x)=x.
- **Theorem.** Let $t: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ be a computable function. Then there is a machine *F* for which $L(t(\langle F \rangle)) = L(F)$. Here we are assuming that if a string isn't a proper TM, then it describes the empty language.

Proof.

- F = "On input w:
- 1. Obtain via the recursion theorem, own description $\langle F \rangle$.
- 2. Compute $t(\langle F \rangle)$ to obtain a TM description *G*.
- 3. Simulate *G* on *w*."