

PKZIP Stream Cipher

PKZIP



- Phil Katz's ZIP program
- Katz invented zip file format
 - o ca 1989
- Before that, Katz created PKARC utility
 - ARC compression was patented by SEA, Inc.
 - o SEA successfully sued Katz
- Katz then invented zip
 - ZIP was much better than SEA's ARC
 - He started his own company, PKWare
- □ Katz died of alcohol abuse at age 37 in 2000

PKZIP

PKZIP compresses files using zip
 Optionally, it encrypts compressed file

 Uses a homemade stream cipher
 PKZIP cipher due to Roger Schlafly
 Schlafly has PhD in math (Berkeley, 1980)

 PKZIP cipher is susceptible to attack

 Attack is nontrivial, has significant work factor, lots of memory required, etc.

PKZIP Cipher

Generates 1 byte of keystream per step

96 bit internal state

• State: 32-bit words, which we label X,Y,Z

• Initial state derived from a password

Of course, password guessing is possible

• We do not consider password guessing here

Cipher design seems somewhat ad hoc

• No clear design principles

o Uses shifts, arithmetic operations, CRC, etc.

PKZIP Encryption

🗆 Given

- Current state: X, Y, Z (32-bit words)
- o p = byte of plaintext to encrypt
- Note: upper case for 32-bit words, lower case bytes

□ Then the algorithm is...

k = getKeystreamByte(Z)

```
c = p \oplus k
```

update(X, Y, Z, p)

Next, we define getKeystreamByte, update

PKZIP getKeystreamByte

□ Let "v" be binary OR

- \square Define $\langle X \rangle_{\!_{i\ldots j}}$ as bits i thru j (inclusive) of X
 - As usual, bits numbered left-to-right from 0
- Shift X by n bits to right: X >> n

🗆 Then...

getKeystreamByte(Z) $t = \langle Z \lor 3 \rangle_{16...31}$ $k = \langle (t \cdot (t \oplus 1)) >> 8 \rangle_{24...31}$ return(k) end getKeystreamByte

PKZIP update

Given current state X, Y, Z and p update(X, Y, Z, p) X = CRC(X, p) Y = (Y + ⟨X⟩_{24..31}) · 134775813 + 1 (mod 2³²) Z = CRC(Z, ⟨Y⟩_{0..7}) end update CRC function defined on next slide

PKZIP CRC

```
Let X be 32-bit word, b a byte
  CRC(X, b)
     X = X \oplus b
     for i = 0 to 7
        if X is odd
           X = (X >> 1) \oplus 0xedb88320
        else
           X = (X >> 1)
        end if
     next i
     return(X)
  end CRC
```

CRCTable and CRCinverse

- □ For efficiency, define CRCtable so that $CRC(X,b) = \langle X \rangle_{0...23} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X \rangle_{24...31} \oplus b]$
- Inverse table, CRCinverse, exists in the following sense:
- □ If B = $\langle A \rangle_{0...23}$ ⊕ CRCtable[$\langle A \rangle_{24...31}$ ⊕ b]
- □ Then A = (B << 8) \oplus CRCinverse[$\langle B \rangle_{0...7}$] \oplus b
- Inverse table is useful in attack

Lists

- Let (X_i,Y_i,Z_i) be internal state used to generate ith keystream byte
- □ Let k_i be the ith keystream byte
- Let p_i be ith plaintext byte
- \Box Define "X-list" to be X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n

• Note that n+1 elements in this list

Similar definition for k-list, p-list, etc.

Outline of PKZIP Attack

- Assume k-list and p-list are known
 - o This is a known plaintext attack
- Want to find state (X_i, Y_i, Z_i) for some i
 - Then all keystream bytes are known
- Executive summary of the attack
 - 1. Use k-list to find a set of Z-lists
 - 2. For each Z-list, find multiple Y-lists
 - 3. For each Y-list, use p-list to obtain one X-list
 - 4. True X-list is among X-lists in 3. Find X-list using p-list. From X-list, obtain state and keystream
- Details of steps 1 thru 4 on following slides

Step 1: Z-lists

 \Box Assume keystream bytes k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n known Keystream byte k_i computed as $\mathbf{k}_{i} = \langle (\mathbf{t} \cdot (\mathbf{t} \oplus \mathbf{1})) \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}_{24} \rangle \langle \mathbf{t}_{31} \rangle \langle \mathbf{$ Where t = $\langle Z_i \vee 3 \rangle_{16,31}$ Given k_n, there are 64 possible t • Due to the " \vee 3" \Box This gives 64 putative $\langle Z_n \rangle_{16}$ 29 \Box Similarly, we find 64 putative $\langle Z_{n-1} \rangle_{16}$

Step 1: Z-lists

- \Box Have 64 putative $\langle Z_n \rangle_{16\dots 29}$ and $\langle Z_{n-1} \rangle_{16\dots 29}$
- □ Implies there are 2^{22} putative $\langle Z_n \rangle_{0...29}$
- **By** update we have $Z_n = CRC(Z_{n-1}, \langle Y \rangle_{0...7})$
- □ By CRC inversion formula $Z_{n-1} = (Z_n << 8) \oplus CRC inverse[\langle Z_n \rangle_{0...7}] \oplus \langle Y_n \rangle_{0...7}$
- \square For each of 2²² putative $\langle Z_n \rangle_{\!_{0\dots 29}}$
 - Know bits 0 thru 21 on RHS, bits 16 to 29 on LHS
 - For correct Z_n and Z_{n-1} , bits 16 thru 21 must agree
 - Since 6 bits, 1/64 chance of a random match
 - o Since 64 Z_{n-1} , for each Z_n expect 1 matching Z_{n-1}
 - o Since there are $2^{22} Z_{n-1}$ we obtain $2^{22} Z_{n-1}$

Step 1: Z-lists

- □ Repeat for $\langle Z_{n-2} \rangle_{0...29}$ then $\langle Z_{n-3} \rangle_{0...29}$ etc. □ Bottom Line
 - We obtain about 2²² Z-lists
 - o Each of the form $\langle Z_i \rangle_{_{0\dots 29}},$ for $i=1,2,\dots,n$
- Possible to extend each of these to "full" Z_i
 - o That is, Z_i bits 0 thru 31, not just bits 0 thru 29
 - We omit details here (see text)
- □ We have 2^{22} Z-lists, $\langle Z_i \rangle_{0...29}$, for i = 1,2,...,n

Step 1 Refinement

- Possible to reduce number of Z-lists
- Requires additional known plaintext
- Reduces overall work factor
- □ For example
 - 28 more bytes, we can reduce number of Z-lists (and overall work) by a factor of 2⁴
 - 1000 additional bytes can reduce number of lists to a range by 2¹¹ to 2¹⁴
- □ We ignore refinement, so 2²² Z-lists

□ We have about 2²² putative Z-lists • Each consisting of putative Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n We use these to find consistent Y-lists From update, we can write CRC inverse as $\langle Y_i \rangle_{0} = Z_{i-1} \oplus (Z_i \ll 8) \oplus CRCinverse[\langle Z_i \rangle_{0}]$ □ For each Z-list, have $\langle Y_2 \rangle_0$ 7, $\langle Y_3 \rangle_0$ 7, ..., $\langle Y_n \rangle_0$ 7 How to find remaining 24 bits of each Y_i? • This is a bit tricky...

From update we have

 $Y_i = (Y_{i-1} + \langle X_i \rangle_{24...31}) \cdot 134775813 + 1 \pmod{2^{32}}$

Rewrite this as

 $(Y_i - 1) \cdot C = Y_{i-1} + \langle X_i \rangle_{24...31}$

• Where $C = 134775813^{-1} \pmod{2^{32}}$

□ Then with very high probability $\langle (Y_i - 1) \cdot C \rangle_{0...7} = \langle Y_{i-1} \rangle_{0...7}$

 \Box Letting i = n, we have

$$\langle (\mathbf{Y}_{n} - 1) \cdot \mathbf{C} \rangle_{0...7} = \langle \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \rangle_{0...7}$$

 \Box We have $\langle (Y_n - 1) \cdot C \rangle_{0} = \langle Y_{n-1} \rangle_{0} = \langle Y_{n-1} \rangle_{0}$ • Where both $\langle Y_n \rangle_{0...7}$ and $\langle Y_{n-1} \rangle_{0...7}$ known \Box Test all 2²⁴ choices for $\langle Y_n \rangle_{8,31}$ • For each, compute $\langle (Y_n - 1) \cdot C \rangle_{0, 7}$ • And compare to known $\langle Y_{n-1} \rangle_{0...7}$ Probability of a match is 1/2⁸ □ Bottom line: Obtain 2¹⁶ Y_n per Z-list □ Since 2²² Z-lists, we have 2³⁸ Y_n

□ We have $(Y_n - 1) \cdot C = Y_{n-1} + \langle X_n \rangle_{24}$ Rewrite as $Y_{n-1} = (Y_n - 1) \cdot C - \langle X_n \rangle_{24 \dots 31}$ \Box Let a = $\langle X_n \rangle_{24}$ 31 □ Then $Y_{n-1} = (Y_n - 1) \cdot C - a$ For some unknown byte a

- We have Y_{n-1} = (Y_n 1) · C a
 o For some unknown byte a
- □ For each Y_n , compute Y_{n-1} for all possible a
 - Test whether $\langle (Y_{n-1} 1) \cdot C \rangle_{0...7} = \langle Y_{n-2} \rangle_{0...7}$
 - o Recall that $\langle Y_{n-2}\rangle_{\!_{0\dots7}}$ is known
 - Try all 256 a, each has 1/28 probability of match
 - o Expect one Y_{n-1} for each Y_n
- □ Can be made efficient using lookup tables o Given $\langle Y_{n-2} \rangle_{0...7}$ lookup consistent $\langle Y_{n-1} \rangle_{0...7}$

Repeat for $Y_{n-2}, Y_{n-3}, \dots, Y_3$

Bottom line

- Expect to obtain 2³⁸ Y-lists
- Each of the form Y_3, Y_4, \dots, Y_n
- Remaining steps in the attack
 - Find X-lists (step 3)
 - Find correct X-list from set of X-lists (step 4)
 - Then some (X_i, Y_i, Z_i) known and msg is broken!

We have about 2³⁸ putative Y-lists

Each is of the form Y₃,Y₄,...,Y_n

How to find corresponding X-lists?
Consider the formula

⟨X_i⟩_{24...31} = (Y_i - 1) · C - Y_{i-1}

Use this to obtain ⟨X_i⟩_{24...31} for i = 4,5,...,n
How to find remaining bits of each X_i ?

From update function $X_i = CRC(X_{i-1}, p_i)$ Using CRC table, $X_i = \langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{0,23} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{24,31} \oplus p_i]$ Implications? If we know one complete X_i and all p_i then we can compute all (complete) X_i • CRC inverse allows us to find X_{i-1} from X_i \Box So how to find one complete X_i?

- We know $\langle X_i \rangle_{24...31}$ and p_i for each i
- □ From update: $X_i = \langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{0...23} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{24...31} \oplus p_i]$
- This implies
- $\begin{array}{l} 1. \quad \langle X_{i} \rangle_{0\ldots 23} = X_{i+1} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i} \rangle_{24\ldots 31} \oplus p_{i+1}] \\ 2. \quad \langle X_{i+1} \rangle_{0\ldots 23} = X_{i+2} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i+1} \rangle_{24\ldots 31} \oplus p_{i+2}] \\ 3. \quad \langle X_{i+2} \rangle_{0\ldots 23} = X_{i+3} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i+2} \rangle_{24\ldots 31} \oplus p_{i+3}] \\ \hline From \langle X_{i+3} \rangle_{24\ldots 31}, \langle X_{i+2} \rangle_{24\ldots 31} \text{ and } 3, get \langle X_{i+2} \rangle_{16\ldots 31} \\ \hline From \langle X_{i+2} \rangle_{16\ldots 31}, \langle X_{i+1} \rangle_{24\ldots 31} \text{ and } 2, get \langle X_{i+1} \rangle_{8\ldots 31} \\ \hline From \langle X_{i+1} \rangle_{8\ldots 31}, \langle X_{i} \rangle_{24\ldots 31} \text{ and } 1, get X_{i} = \langle X_{i} \rangle_{0\ldots 31} \end{array}$

PKZIP Stream Cipher

Using X_i found on previous slide and $X_i = \langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{0...23} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{24...31} \oplus p_i]$ We can find the complete X-list Repeat this for each putative Y-list o Gives us about 2³⁸ putative X-lists Correct X-list will (almost certainly) be among these 2³⁸ X-lists How to select the "winning" X-list?

Step 4: Correct X-lists

- How to select correct X-list?
- \square We can compute $\langle X_i \rangle_{{}^{24\ldots 31}}$ in two ways:
 - $X_{i} = \langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{0...23} \oplus CRCtable[\langle X_{i-1} \rangle_{24...31} \oplus p_{i}]$ $\langle X_{i} \rangle = -(Y_{i} - 1) \cdot C_{i} - Y_{i-1}$

$$\langle \mathbf{X}_{i} \rangle_{24...31} = (\mathbf{Y}_{i} - 1) \cdot \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Y}_{i-1}$$

- These two results must agree!
- Since testing 1 byte
 - o Probability of random match about 1/2⁸
- □ We have about 2³⁸ putative X-lists, so...
- About 5 such comparisons and we're done!

Step 4: Recover Keystream

Once we have found correct X-list

 We know corresponding Y-list, Z-list

 For some i < n, we know state (X_i,Y_i,Z_i)
 From (X_i,Y_i,Z_i) we generate k_j for j ≥ i
 We have the keystream and msg is broken
 Trudy wins again!

How Much Plaintext?

- Trudy wants to minimize known plaintext
- □ Require plaintext bytes p₀,p₁,...,p_n
 - So, how small can n be?

o We can assume i+3 = n, so n,n-1,n-2,n-3 needed
And we need five more X_i to find true X-list
o Can assume we use i = n-4,n-5,n-6,n-7,n-8
Cannot use X_i, i=0,1,2,3, for any of the above
o Since these are not found by the attack

How Much Plaintext?

Bottom line

- Need 13 consecutive known plaintext bytes
- o Since 4 + 5 + 4 = 13 (from previous slide)
- Can reduce the work (step 1 refinement)
 - o Requires more known plaintext
 - o "Work" determined by number of lists
 - 28 additional known plaintext bytes reduces number of lists from 2³⁸ to 2³⁴
 - About 1000 additional plaintext bytes reduces number of lists to a range of 2²⁷ to 2²⁴

Slightly Simplified Attack

If we do not reduce number of lists (i.e., we do not implement step 1 refinement)

• Then work is on order of 2^{38}

- □ In this case, a simpler attack is possible
- Simpler means easier to program
 - We do not have to save large number of lists
 - Instead, we process each lists as generated

Simplified Attack

Suppose we have 13 known plaintexts

 That is, p₀,p₁,...,p₁₂
 Then we know keystream bytes k₀,k₁,...,k₁₂

 From step 1, we first do the following:

 for i = 0 to 12
 Find all ⟨Z_i⟩_{16...29} consistent with k_i
 next i

 Expect 64 ⟨Z_i⟩_{16...29} for each i

Remainder of attack is on the next slide

Simplified for each $\langle Z_{12} \rangle_{16...29}$ // expect 64 for each $\langle Z_{12} \rangle_{0..15}$ // 2¹⁶ choices Attack for i = 11,10,...,0 Find $\langle Z_i \rangle_{16}$ 29 consistent with $\langle Z_{i+1} \rangle_{0}$ 29 Extend $\langle Z_i \rangle_{16}$ 29 to $\langle Z_i \rangle_{0...29}$ next i Complete to Z-list (solve for bits 30 and 31) Solve for $\langle Y_i \rangle_{0}$ 7 Solve for all bits of Y-lists // expect 2¹⁶ lists for each Y-list Solve for $\langle X_i \rangle_{24}$ 31 Solve for X₉ using $\langle X_9 \rangle_{24...31}, \langle X_{10} \rangle_{24...31}, \langle X_{11} \rangle_{24...31}, \langle X_{12} \rangle_{24...31}$ Solve for X-list if $\langle X_i \rangle_{24}$ 31 verified for i=8,7,6,5,4, return (X,Y,Z)-list next Y-list next $\langle Z_{12} \rangle_{0}$ 15 next $\langle Z_{12} \rangle_{16}$

PKZIP Conclusions

- PKZIP cipher is somewhat complex and difficult to analyze
- PKZIP cipher design
 - Appears to be ad hoc
 - Violated Kerckhoffs Principle
 - Mixed-mode arithmetic is interesting
- The bottom line...
 - PKZIP cipher is insecure
 - o But not trivial to attack
 - An interesting and unusual cipher!