Metrics and Queries

Write Performance: Data Loading Time Read Performance: Query Performance (Multiple queries) Data Storage FootPrint: Size of the data file

Queries

double-groupby-5 : This query does multiple group-by by time and host_id .Returns the average of 5 metrics per host per day

cpu-max-all-8 : This query finds the maximum value for all metrics for 1 hour for 8 hosts

lastpoint : This query finds the latest reading for every device in the dataset.

groupby-orderby-limit : This query does a single rollup on time to get the MAX reading of a CPU metric on a per-minute basis for the last 5 intervals for which there are readings before a specified end time that is randomly selected.

Performing MongoDB Queries

MongoDB Naive

MongoDB: Data Generation and Data Loading

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_load_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_data --document-per-event=true --meta-field-index="" --timeseries-collection=true --workers=10 time,per. metric/s,metric total,overall metric/s,per. row/s,row total,overall row/s

1682541455,1008856.33,1.010000E+07,1008856.33,-,-,-1682541465,1141174.71,2.150000E+07,1074943.97,-,-,-1682541475,1120001.31,3.270000E+07,1089962.55,-,-,-1682541485, 1080083.40, 4.350000E+07, 1087492.97, -, -, -1682541495,1029832.62,5.380000E+07,1075959.46,-,-,-1682541505,1050088.23,6.430000E+07,1071648.02,-,-,-1682541515, 1089998.52, 7.520000E+07, 1074269.49, -, -, -1682541525,1040055.23,8.560000E+07,1069992.96,-,-,-1682541535, 1069941.01, 9.630000E+07, 1069987.19, -, -, -1682541545,1080003.73,1.071000E+08,1070988.83,-,-,-1682541555,1079998.83,1.179000E+08,1071807.91,-,-,-1682541565,1060000.29,1.285000E+08,1070823.95,-,-,-1682541575,1059998.87,1.391000E+08,1069991.26,-,-,-1682541585,1060087.32,1.497000E+08,1069283.89,-,-,-1682541595,1059914.89,1.603000E+08,1068659.25,-,-,-1682541605,1069034.10,1.710000E+08,1068682.70,-,-,-1682541615,1070965.06,1.817000E+08,1068816.83,-,-,-1682541625,1030002.63,1.920000E+08,1066660.50,-,-,-1682541635,1029999.70,2.023000E+08,1064731.00,-,-,-1682541645,979999.67,2.121000E+08,1060494.45,-,-,-1682541655, 1020012.62, 2.223000E+08, 1058566.78, -, -, -1682541665,1059984.55,2.329000E+08,1058631.22,-,-,-1682541675, 1050075.86, 2.434000E+08, 1058259.28, -, -, -1682541685, 1029927.12, 2.537000E+08, 1057078.69, -, -, -

Summary:

loaded 259200000 metrics in 246.463sec with 10 workers (mean rate 1051678.34 metrics/sec)

Data Loading : 246 seconds

MongoDB:Query-double-groupby-5

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="cbeckgroupby=5" --format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query1

Mongo [NATVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: 100 points
[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query1 --workers=10
After 100 queries with 10 workers:
Interval query rate: 0.84 queries/sec Overall query rate: 0.84 queries/sec
Mongo [NATVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h:
in: 10570.75ms, med: 11972.09ms, mean: 11818.73ms, max: 12559.36ms, stddev: 541.97ms, sum: 1181.9sec, count: 100
all queries
min: 10570.75ms, med: 11972.09ms, mean: 11818.73ms, max: 12559.36ms, stddev: 541.97ms, sum: 1181.9sec, count: 100
Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 0.84 queries/sec):
Mongo [NAIVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h:
in: 10570.75ms, med: 11972.09ms, mean: 11818.73ms, max: 12559.36ms, stddev: 541.97ms, sum: 1181.9sec, count: 100
Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 0.84 queries/sec):
Mongo [NAIVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h:
in: 10570.75ms, med: 11972.09ms, mean: 11818.73ms, max: 12559.36ms, stddev: 541.97ms, sum: 1181.9sec, count: 100
Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 0.84 queries/sec):
Mongo [NAIVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h:
in: 10570.75ms, med: 11972.09ms, mean: 11818.73ms, max: 12559.36ms, stddev: 541.97ms, sum: 1181.9sec, count: 100
all queries
i: 100
all que

Total Time: 118 sec

MongoDB: Query–cpu-max-all-8

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="cpu" -max-all-8" -- format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query2 Mongo max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query2 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 120.50 queries/sec Overall query rate: 120.50 queries/sec Mongo max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 56.46ms, med: 76.84ms, mean: 80.63ms, max: 120.60ms, stddev: 13.73ms, sum: min: 8.1sec, count: 100 all gueries : min: 56.46ms, med: 76.84ms, mean: 80.63ms, max: 120.60ms, stddev: 13.73ms, sum: 8.1sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 117.42 queries/sec): Mongo max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: min: 56.46ms, med: 76.84ms, mean: 80.63ms, max: 120.60ms, stddev: 13.73ms, sum: 8.1sec. count: 100 all queries : min: 56.46ms, med: 76.84ms, mean: 80.63ms, max: 120.60ms, stddev: 13.73ms, sum: 8.1sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 0.865436sec

Total Time: 0.86 sec

MongoDB: Query–lastpoint

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="las" tpoint" -- format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo guerv3 Mongo last row per host: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run gueries mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo guerv3 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 1.50 queries/sec Overall query rate: 1.50 queries/sec Mongo last row per host: min: 6049.79ms, med: 6676.48ms, mean: 6654.48ms, max: 7151.10ms, stddev: 230.87ms, sum: 665.4sec, count: 100 all queries : min: 6049.79ms, med: 6676.48ms, mean: 6654.48ms, max: 7151.10ms, stddev: 230.87ms, sum: 665.4sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 1.50 queries/sec): Mongo last row per host: min: 6049.79ms, med: 6676.48ms, mean: 6654.48ms, max: 7151.10ms, stddev: 230.87ms, sum: 665.4sec, count: 100 all queries min: 6049.79ms, med: 6676.48ms, mean: 6654.48ms, max: 7151.10ms, stddev: 230.87ms, sum: 665.4sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 66.799807sec

Total Time: 66.79 sec

MongoDB: Query–groupby-orderby-limit

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="arol upby-orderby-limit" --format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query4 Mongo max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query4 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 0.42 queries/sec Overall query rate: 0.42 queries/sec Mongo max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 743.58ms, med: 24287.23ms, mean: 22353.91ms, max: 52387.84ms, stddev: 14397.68ms, sum: 2235.4sec, count: 100 all gueries min: 743.58ms, med: 24287.23ms, mean: 22353.91ms, max: 52387.84ms, stddev: 14397.68ms, sum: 2235.4sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 0.42 queries/sec): Mongo max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 743.58ms, med: 24287.23ms, mean: 22353.91ms, max: 52387.84ms, stddev: 14397.68ms, sum: 2235.4sec, count: 100 all queries min: 743.58ms, med: 24287.23ms, mean: 22353.91ms, max: 52387.84ms, stddev: 14397.68ms, sum: 2235.4sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 239.258890sec

Total Time: 239 sec

MongoDB Recommended

MongoDB: Data Generation and Data Loading

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_data --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:00Z" --log-interval="10s" --format="mon] go" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_data [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_load_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_data --document-per-event=false --meta-field-index="" --timesteries-collection=false --workers=10

time.per. metric/s,metric total.overall metric/s,per. row/s,row total.overall row/s
1682842129,1999810.55,2.000000E+07,2194971.37,-,-,
1682842139,2390153.32,4.390000E+07,2326585.03,-,-,1682842169,2589794.95,6.980000E+07,2324585.03,-,-,1682842169,1256491,4000E+08,2329154.56,-,-,1682842169,125639.34,1.154000E+08,231912.15,-,-,1682842189,1755354.24,1.507000E+08,2152033.35,-,-,1682842189,2155454.17,1.980000E+08,2152033.35,-,-,1682842209,2545514.17,1.980000E+08,215203.35,-,-,1682842209,2410619.51,2.221000E+08,2129912.54,-,-,1682842209,2410619.51,2.221000E+08,212091.24,-,-,1682842209,2545814.17,1.98000E+08,212091.24,-,-,1682842209,254591.41,7,1.98000E+08,212091.24,-,-,1682842239,1750006.06,2.552000E+08,2126047.71,-,-,-

Summary: loaded 259200000 metrics in 123.490sec with 10 workers (mean rate 2098963.30 metrics/sec)

Data Loading : 123 seconds

MongoDB:Query–double-groupby-5

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="dou" ble-groupby-5" --format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query1 Mongo [NAIVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query1 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 6.11 queries/sec Overall query rate: 6.11 queries/sec Mongo [NAIVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 447.06ms, med: 1677.06ms, mean: 1634.85ms, max: 2606.34ms, stddev: 672.73ms, sum: 163.5sec, count: 100 all queries min: 447.06ms, med: 1677.06ms, mean: 1634.85ms, max: 2606.34ms, stddev: 672.73ms, sum: 163.5sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 6.11 queries/sec): Mongo [NAIVE] mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 447.06ms, med: 1677.06ms, mean: 1634.85ms, max: 2606.34ms, stddev: 672.73ms, sum: 163.5sec, count: 100 all queries 447.06ms, med: 1677.06ms, mean: 1634.85ms, max: 2606.34ms, stddev: 672.73ms, sum: 163.5sec, count: 100 min: wall clock time: 16.392735sec

Total Time: 16.39 sec

MongoDB: Query–cpu-max-all-8

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="cpu" -max-all-8" -- format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo guerv2 Mongo max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run gueries mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo guerv2 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 6.92 queries/sec Overall query rate: 6.92 queries/sec Mongo max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: min: 640.13ms, med: 1255.93ms, mean: 1444.24ms, max: 2425.86ms, stddev: 519.55ms, sum: 144.4sec, count: 100 all queries min: 640.13ms, med: 1255.93ms, mean: 1444.24ms, max: 2425.86ms, stddev: 519.55ms, sum: 144.4sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 6.91 queries/sec): Mongo max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: min: 640.13ms, med: 1255.93ms, mean: 1444.24ms, max: 2425.86ms, stddev: 519.55ms, sum: 144.4sec, count: 100 all queries min: 640.13ms, med: 1255.93ms, mean: 1444.24ms, max: 2425.86ms, stddev: 519.55ms, sum: 144.4sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 14,483609sec

Total Time: 14.48 sec

MongoDB: Query–lastpoint

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="las"] tpoint" --format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query3 Mongo last row per host: 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query3 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 5.16 queries/sec Overall query rate: 5.16 queries/sec Mongo last row per host: min: 1277.18ms, med: 1660.10ms, mean: 1936.99ms, max: 3109.38ms, stddev: 553.58ms, sum: 193.7sec, count: 100 all queries : min: 1277.18ms, med: 1660.10ms, mean: 1936.99ms, max: 3109.38ms, stddev: 553.58ms, sum: 193.7sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 5.15 queries/sec): Mongo last row per host: min: 1277.18ms, med: 1660.10ms, mean: 1936.99ms, max: 3109.38ms, stddev: 553.58ms, sum: 193.7sec, count: 100 all queries • min: 1277.18ms, med: 1660.10ms, mean: 1936.99ms, max: 3109.38ms, stddev: 553.58ms, sum: 193.7sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 19.440762sec

Total Time: 19.44 sec

MongoDB: Query–groupby-orderby-limit

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="group" upby-orderby-limit" --format="mongo" > /Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query4 Mongo max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_mongo --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/mongo_query4 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 7.44 queries/sec Overall query rate: 7.44 queries/sec Mongo max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 783.49ms, med: 1213.25ms, mean: 1342.95ms, max: 2411.26ms, stddev: 525.59ms, sum: 134.3sec, count: 100 all queries : min: 783.49ms, med: 1213.25ms, mean: 1342.95ms, max: 2411.26ms, stddev: 525.59ms, sum: 134.3sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 7.43 queries/sec): Mongo max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 783.49ms, med: 1213.25ms, mean: 1342.95ms, max: 2411.26ms, stddev: 525.59ms, sum: 134.3sec, count: 100 all queries : 783.49ms, med: 1213.25ms, mean: 1342.95ms, max: 2411.26ms, stddev: 525.59ms, sum: 134.3sec, count: 100 min: wall clock time: 13.470554sec

Total Time: 13.47 sec

Performing TimescaleDB Queries

TimescaleDB: Data Generation and Data Loading

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_data --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:00Z" --loo-interval="10s" --format="tim escaledb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb_data spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_load config --target=timescaledb --data-source=FILE Wrote example config to: ./config.yaml spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % vim config.yaml spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % vim config.vaml spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs load load timescaledb --config=./config.yaml Using config file: ./config.vaml time.per. metric/s,metric total,overall metric/s,per. row/s,row total,overall row/s 1682618887, 4177237, 49, 4, 180000E+07, 4177237, 49, 417723, 75, 4, 180000E+06, 417723, 75 1682618897.4982734.19.9.160000E+07.4579742.18.498273.42.9.160000E+06.457974.22 1682618907,4659910.16,1.382000E+08,4606464.18,465991.02,1.382000E+07,460646.42 1682618917, 3810117, 51, 1, 763000E+08, 4407388, 68, 381011, 75, 1, 763000E+07, 440738, 87 1682618927.4289971.99.2.192000E+08.4383905.69.428997.20.2.192000E+07.438390.57 Summary: loaded 259200000 metrics in 59.507sec with 10 workers (mean rate 4355805.22 metrics/sec) loaded 25920000 rows in 59.507sec with 10 workers (mean rate 435580.52 rows/sec)

Data Loading : 59 seconds

TimescaleDB: Query–double-groupby-5

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="dou" ble-groupby-5" -- format="timescaledb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb guery1 TimescaleDB mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run queries timescaledb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb guerv1 --workers=10 -postgres="host=localhost user=postgres sslmode=disable" After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 3.24 queries/sec Overall query rate: 3.24 queries/sec TimescaleDB mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 982.11ms, med: 1842.05ms, mean: 3006.75ms, max: 6132.99ms, stddev: 1856.19ms, sum: 300.7sec, count: 100 all queries min: 982.11ms, med: 1842.05ms, mean: 3006.75ms, max: 6132.99ms, stddev: 1856.19ms, sum: 300.7sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 3.23 queries/sec): TimescaleDB mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 982.11ms, med: 1842.05ms, mean: 3006.75ms, max: 6132.99ms, stddev: 1856.19ms, sum: 300.7sec, count: 100 all queries 982.11ms, med: 1842.05ms, mean: 3006.75ms, max: 6132.99ms, stddev: 1856.19ms, sum: 300.7sec, count: 100 min: wall clock time: 30.942844sec

Total Time: 30.94 sec

TimescaleDB: Query–cpu-max-all-8

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="cpu" -max-all-8" -- format="timescaledb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb query2 TimescaleDB max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run gueries timescaledb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb guerv2 --workers=10 -postgres="host=localhost user=postgres sslmode=disable" After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 97.49 queries/sec Overall query rate: 97.49 queries/sec TimescaleDB max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: min: 45.92ms, med: 82.13ms, mean: 100.15ms, max: 222.29ms, stddev: 48.02ms, sum: 10.0sec, count: 100 all queries ٠ min: 45.92ms, med: 82.13ms, mean: 100.15ms, max: 222.29ms, stddev: 48.02ms, sum: 10.0sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 96.25 queries/sec): TimescaleDB max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 45.92ms, med: 82.13ms, mean: 100.15ms, max: 222.29ms, stddev: 48.02ms, sum: 10.0sec, count: 100 min: all queries 45.92ms, med: 82.13ms, mean: 100.15ms, max: 222.29ms, stddev: 48.02ms, sum: 10.0sec, count: 100 min:

Total Time: 1.05 sec

wall clock time: 1.050035sec

TimescaleDB: Query–lastpoint

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="las] tpoint" --format="timescaledb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb_query3 TimescaleDB last row per host: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_timescaledb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb_query3 --workers=10 -postgres="host=localhost user=postgres sslmode=disable" After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 289.01 queries/sec Overall query rate: 289.01 queries/sec TimescaleDB last row per host: 11.46ms, med: 20.73ms, mean: 34.06ms, max: 190.82ms, stddev: 41.80ms, sum: min: 3.4sec, count: 100 all queries : min: 11.46ms, med: 20.73ms, mean: 34.06ms, max: 190.82ms, stddev: 41.80ms, sum: 3.4sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 284.87 queries/sec): TimescaleDB last row per host: 11.46ms, med: 34.06ms, max: 190.82ms, stddev: 3.4sec, count: 100 min: 20.73ms, mean: 41.80ms, sum: all queries : 20.73ms, mean: 34.06ms, max: 190.82ms, stddev: min: 11.46ms, med: 41.80ms, sum: 3.4sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 0.358746sec

Total Time: 0.35 sec

TimescaleDB: Query-groupby-orderby-limit

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="gro] upby-orderby-limit" --format="timescaledb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb_query4

TimescaleDB max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_timescaledb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/timescaledb_query4 --workers=10 -postgres="host=localhost user=postgres sslmode=disable" After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 462.49 queries/sec Overall query rate: 462.49 queries/sec TimescaleDB max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): 21.22ms, max: 157.94ms, stddev: min: 4.28ms, med: 7.66ms, mean: 37.63ms, sum: 2.1sec, count: 100 all queries 21.22ms, max: 157.94ms, stddev: min: 4.28ms, med: 7.66ms, mean: 37.63ms, sum: 2.1sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 gueries with 10 workers (Overall guery rate 430.33 gueries/sec): TimescaleDB max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 4.28ms, med: 7.66ms, mean: 21.22ms, max: 157.94ms, stddev: 2.1sec. count: 100 37.63ms, sum: all queries 4.28ms, med: 7.66ms, mean: 21.22ms, max: 157.94ms, stddev: 37.63ms, sum: min: 2.1sec. count: 100

Total Time: 0.24 sec

wall clock time: 0.244566sec

Performing influxDB Queries

InfluxDB: Data Generation and Data Loading

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_data --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:00Z" --log-interval="10s" --format="inf] lux" > /Users/spartan/tmp/influx data

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_load_influx --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/influx_data --workers=10 time, per. metric/s, metric total, overall metric/s, per. row/s, row total, overall row/s 1682547173,3309635,31,3,310000E+07,3309635,31,330963,53,3,310000E+06,330963,53 1682547183,3400009.63,6.710000E+07,3354819.92,340000.96,6.710000E+06,335481.99 1682547193, 3449994.83, 1.016000E+08, 3386543.78, 344999.48, 1.016000E+07, 338654.38 1682547203,3200292.41,1.336000E+08,3339985.40,320029.24,1.336000E+07,333998.54 1682547213,2209830.86,1.557000E+08,3113941.44,220983.09,1.557000E+07,311394.14 1682547223, 2590202.42, 1.816000E+08, 3026658.66, 259020.24, 1.816000E+07, 302665.87 1682547233, 3289994.74, 2.145000E+08, 3064278.06, 328999.47, 2.145000E+07, 306427.81 1682547243,3090026.28,2.454000E+08,3067496.56,309002.63,2.454000E+07,306749.66 [worker 4] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 0] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 2] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 1] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 6] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 9] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 7] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 8] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 5] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime [worker 3] backoffs took a total of 0.000000sec of runtime

Summary:

loaded 259200000 metrics in 84.490sec with 10 workers (mean rate 3067833.17 metrics/sec) loaded 25920000 rows in 84.490sec with 10 workers (mean rate 306783.32 rows/sec)

Data Loading : 2.337 seconds

InfluxDB: Query–double-groupby-5

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="dou" ble-groupby-5" --format="influx" > /Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb_query1 Influx mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_influx --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb_query1 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 3.60 queries/sec Overall query rate: 3.60 queries/sec Influx mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 1893.76ms, med: 2642.30ms, mean: 2702.75ms, max: 3811.33ms, stddev: 371.99ms, sum: 270.3sec, count: 100 all queries min: 1893.76ms, med: 2642.30ms, mean: 2702.75ms, max: 3811.33ms, stddev: 371.99ms, sum: 270.3sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 3.60 queries/sec): Influx mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 1893.76ms, med: 2642.30ms, mean: 2702.75ms, max: 3811.33ms, stddev: 371.99ms, sum: 270.3sec, count: 100 all queries min: 1893.76ms, med: 2642.30ms, mean: 2702.75ms, max: 3811.33ms, stddev: 371.99ms, sum: 270.3sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 27.840203sec

Total Time: 27.84 sec

InfluxDB: Query–cpu-max-all-8

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="cpu" -max-all-8" --format="influx" > /Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb guerv2 Influx max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run queries influx --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb query2 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 234.91 queries/sec Overall query rate: 234.91 queries/sec Influx max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: min: 9.09ms, med: 33.37ms, mean: 41.20ms, max: 131.13ms, stddev: 27.50ms, sum: 4.1sec, count: 100 all queries 9.09ms. med: 41.20ms, max: 131.13ms, stddev: min: 33.37ms. mean: 27.50ms, sum: 4.1sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 228.79 queries/sec): Influx max of all CPU metrics, random 8 hosts, random 8h0m0s by 1h: 9.09ms, med: 33.37ms, mean: min: 41.20ms, max: 131.13ms, stddev: 27.50ms, sum: 4.1sec, count: 100 all queries min: 9.09ms, med: 33.37ms, mean: 41.20ms, max: 131.13ms, stddev: 27.50ms, sum: 4.1sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 0.442937sec

Total Time: 0.44 sec

InfluxDB: Query–lastpoint

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="last tpoint" --format="influx" > /Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb_guery3 Influx last row per host: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_influx --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb_query3 --workers=10 After 100 gueries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 8.64 queries/sec Overall query rate: 8.64 queries/sec Influx last row per host: min: 728.10ms, med: 1091.58ms, mean: 1143.43ms, max: 1817.41ms, stddev: 225.52ms, sum: 114.3sec, count: 100 all queries min: 728.10ms, med: 1091.58ms, mean: 1143.43ms, max: 1817.41ms, stddev: 225.52ms, sum: 114.3sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 8.63 queries/sec): Influx last row per host: min: 728.10ms, med: 1091.58ms, mean: 1143.43ms, max: 1817.41ms, stddev: 225.52ms, sum: 114.3sec, count: 100 all queries min: 728.10ms, med: 1091.58ms, mean: 1143.43ms, max: 1817.41ms, stddev: 225.52ms, sum: 114.3sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 11.604377sec

Total Time: 11.60 sec

InfluxDB: Query–groupby-orderby-limit

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="gro] upby-orderby-limit" --format="influx" > /Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb_query4

Influx max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): 100 points [spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_run_queries_influx --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/influxdb_query4 --workers=10 After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 1.20 queries/sec Overall query rate: 1.20 queries/sec Influx max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 376.00ms, med: 8529.41ms, mean: 8017.41ms, max: 17327.10ms, stddev: 5057.94ms, sum: 801.7sec, count: 100 all queries . min: 376.00ms, med: 8529.41ms, mean: 8017.41ms, max: 17327.10ms, stddev: 5057.94ms, sum: 801.7sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 1.20 queries/sec): Influx max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 376.00ms, med: 8529.41ms, mean: 8017.41ms, max: 17327.10ms, stddev: 5057.94ms, sum: 801.7sec, count: 100 all queries 376.00ms, med: 8529.41ms, mean: 8017.41ms, max: 17327.10ms, stddev: 5057.94ms, sum: 801.7sec, count: 100 min: wall clock time: 83.662794sec

Total Time: 83.66 sec

Performing QuestDB Queries

QuestDB: Data Generation and Data Loading

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_load_questdb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/questdb_data --workers=10 time,per. metric/s,metric total,overall metric/s,per. row/s,row total,overall row/s 1682553114,12319782.97,1.232000E+08,12319782.97,1231978.30,1.232000E+07,1231978.30 1682553124,12730012.25,2.505000E+08,12524895.70,1273001.23,2.505000E+07,1252489.57

Summary:

loaded 259200000 metrics in 20.681sec with 10 workers (mean rate 12533378.67 metrics/sec) loaded 25920000 rows in 20.681sec with 10 workers (mean rate 1253337.87 rows/sec)

Data Loading : 20.68 sec

QuestDB:Query-double-groupby-5

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="dou" ble-groupby-5" --format="guestdb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/guestdb guerv1 QuestDB mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run queries questdb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/questdb querv1 --workers=10 Added index to hostname column of cpu table After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 12.58 queries/sec Overall query rate: 12.58 queries/sec QuestDB mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 479.20ms, med: 722.01ms, mean: 770.89ms, max: 1816.00ms, stddev: 254.86ms, sum: 77.1sec, count: 100 all queries min: 479.20ms. med: 722.01ms, mean: 770.89ms, max: 1816.00ms, stddev: 254.86ms, sum: 77.1sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 12.56 queries/sec): QuestDB mean of 5 metrics, all hosts, random 12h0m0s by 1h: min: 479.20ms, med: 722.01ms, mean: 770.89ms, max: 1816.00ms, stddev: 254.86ms, sum: 77.1sec, count: 100 all queries 479.20ms, med: 722.01ms, mean: 770.89ms, max: 1816.00ms, stddev: 254.86ms, sum: 77.1sec, count: 100 min: wall clock time: 7,978426sec

Total Time: 7.97sec

QuestDB: Query-cpu-max-all-8

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="cpu] -max-all=8" --format="questdb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/questdb_query2 panic: database (*Auestdb.levoos) does not implement query

goroutine 1 [running]:

github.com/timescale/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/uses/common.PanicUnimplementedQuery({0x104c931a0?, 0x140001dc010?})
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/uses/common.go:38 +0x84
github.com/timescale/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/uses/dev008 {0x1040001cc420})
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/uses/dev008 {0x104c97c30, 0x1400012c420})
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/uses/dev008 {0x104c97c30, 0x1400012c420})
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/uses/dev008 {0x104c97c30, 0x1400012c420})
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries.uses/dev008 {0x104c931a0, 0x1400012c420}, 0x140001c010}, {0x104c932e0, 0x1400006c000}, 0x104f94920)
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/internal/inputs/generator_queries.go:232 +0x3e0
github.com/timescale/tsbs/internal/inputs.(sQueryGenerator).Generate(0x14000151e168, {0x104c932e0}, 0x104f94920?))
 /Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/internal/inputs/generator_queries.go:96 +0xcc

main.main()

/Users/spartan/go/src/github.com/gregorynoma/tsbs/cmd/tsbs_generate_queries/main.go:169 +0x70

No Output

QuestDB: Query-lastpoint

[spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs_generate_queries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --queries=100 --query-type="las] tpoint" --format="questdb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/questdb_query3

QuestDB last row per host: 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run queries questdb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/questdb query3 --workers=10 Added index to hostname column of cpu table After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 327.90 queries/sec Overall query rate: 327.90 queries/sec QuestDB last row per host: 6.67ms, med: min: 23.52ms, mean: 29.47ms, max: 108.92ms, stddev: 23.31ms, sum: 2.9sec, count: 100 all queries 29.47ms, max: 108.92ms, stddev: min: 6.67ms, med: 23.52ms, mean: 23.31ms, sum: 2.9sec. count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 323.17 queries/sec): QuestDB last row per host: min: 6.67ms, med: 23.52ms, mean: 29.47ms, max: 108.92ms, stddev: 23.31ms, sum: 2.9sec, count: 100 all queries min: 6.67ms, med: 23.52ms, mean: 29.47ms, max: 108.92ms, stddev: 23.31ms, sum: 2.9sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 0.315438sec

Total Time: 0.31 sec

QuestDB: Query–groupby-orderby-limit

spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs generate gueries --use-case="cpu-only" --seed=123 --scale=1000 --timestamp-start="2023-04-01T00:00:00Z" --timestamp-end="2023-04-04T00:00:01Z" --gueries=100 --guery-type="grobalistic contents") upby-orderby-limit" -- format="guestdb" > /Users/spartan/tmp/guestdb guerv4 QuestDB max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): 100 points spartan@IMS-089MBA cmd % tsbs run queries questdb --file=/Users/spartan/tmp/questdb query4 --workers=10 Added index to hostname column of cpu table After 100 queries with 10 workers: Interval query rate: 622.00 queries/sec Overall query rate: 622.00 queries/sec QuestDB max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 1.80ms, med: 8.33ms, mean: 15.62ms, max: 89.98ms, stddev: 20.91ms, sum: 1.6sec, count: 100 all queries : 15.62ms, max: min: 1.80ms. med: 8.33ms. mean: 89.98ms, stddev: 20.91ms, sum: 1.6sec, count: 100 Run complete after 100 queries with 10 workers (Overall query rate 607.73 queries/sec): QuestDB max cpu over last 5 min-intervals (random end): min: 1.80ms, med: 8.33ms, mean: 15.62ms, max: 89.98ms, stddev: 20.91ms, sum: 1.6sec, count: 100 all queries : min: 1.80ms, med: 8.33ms, mean: 15.62ms, max: 89.98ms, stddev: 20.91ms, sum: 1.6sec, count: 100 wall clock time: 0.168779sec

Total Time: 0.16 sec

Result Summary

Data Storage Size

MongoDB: 23.72 GB TimescaleDB : 5.62 GB InfluxDB : 8.97 GB QuestDB: 8.97 GB

nflux_data	Yesterday at 3:12 PM	8.97 GB	Document
nfluxdb_query1	Yesterday at 3:25 PM	64 KB	Document
nfluxdb_query2	Yesterday at 3:27 PM	129 KB	Document
rfluxdb_query3	Yesterday at 3:28 PM	22 KB	Document
nfluxdb_query4	Yesterday at 4:59 PM	49 KB	Document
nongo_data	Yesterday at 1:36 PM	23.72 GB	Document
nongo_query1	Yesterday at 1:59 PM	128 KB	Document
nongo_query2	Yesterday at 2:04 PM	179 KB	Document
nongo_query3	Yesterday at 2:05 PM	142 KB	Document
nongo_query4	Yesterday at 2:07 PM	76 KB	Document
uestdb_data	Yesterday at 11:18 PM	8.97 GB	Document
uestdb_query1	Yesterday at 11:19 PM	100 KB	Document
uestdb_query2	Yesterday at 11:19 PM	Zero bytes	Document
uestdb_query3	Yesterday at 11:19 PM	17 KB	Document
uestdb_query4	Yesterday at 11:20 PM	49 KB	Document
mescaledb_data	Yesterday at 2:34 PM	5.62 GB	Document
mescaledb_query1	Yesterday at 2:47 PM	84 KB	Document
mescaledb_query2	Yesterday at 3:26 PM	129 KB	Document
mescaledb_query3	Yesterday at 2:48 PM	26 KB	Document
mescaledb_query4	Yesterday at 2:49 PM	36 KB	Document

Data Loading(Write Performance)

MongoDB(Naive): 246 sec MongoDB(Recommended): 123 sec TimescaleDB : 59 sec InfluxDB : 2.33 sec QuestDB: 20.68 sec

Query Execution (Read Performance)

	double-groupby-5	cpu-max-all-8	lastpoint	groupby-orderby-limit
MongoDB (Naive)	118	0.86	66.79	239
MongoDB(Recommende d)	16.39	14.48	19.44	13.47
TimeScaleDB	30.94	1.05	0.35	0.24
InfluxDB	27.84	0.44	11.60	83.66
QuestDB	7.97	N/A	0.32	0.16

Data Storage Comparison

- TimeScaleDB wins as it uses compression technique to compress the generated data.
- InfluxDB and QuestDB has the same data size for two datasets. So the reason might the similarity in their storage structure as both uses SQL tables for storing data ,resulting in similar size.
- Both MongoDB methods resulted in 24 gb of data generated .Its prominent that storing every data in a different document and also chunking will result in the same size of data .But the data loading time will be affected as the chunking the data means that data can be uploaded quickly.

Write Performance

InfluxDB uses storage engine called the Time-Structured Merge Tree (TSM), which is designed to write data quickly and compactly. Also, InfluxDB works with very well with datasets having low cardinality and so it wins in write performance as the data used in this benchmark is relatively small.

QuestDB is designed for high performance and offers a number of features to optimize write performance, such as vectorization and zero-garbage collection.

TimescaleDB offers chunking and indexing thus grouping data helps in importing data quickly, but its little slow than QuestDB.

MongoDB doesn't provide any special feature for write performance and so Mongo-naive approach takes minutes to insert the data . But changing 'document-per-event' to false, helps the data to be grouped together and result in half time as MongoDb naive.

MongoDB Comparison

```
benchmark> db.point data.find()
Ε
  Ł
    time: ISODate("2023-04-01T00:00:00.000Z"),
    tags: {
      arch: 'x86',
      datacenter: 'eu-central-1a',
      hostname: 'host 0',
      os: 'Ubuntu15.10',
      rack: '6',
      region: 'eu-central-1',
      service: '19',
      service environment: 'test',
      service version: '1',
      team: 'SF'
    },
    usage_system: 2,
    usage_user: 58,
    usage nice: 61,
    usage_irq: 63,
    usage_guest_nice: 38,
    id: ObjectId("644ac3906ed849460a8720da"),
    usage_idle: 24,
    usage_steal: 44,
    usage guest: 80,
    usage_iowait: 22,
    usage_softirg: 6,
    measurement: 'cpu'
  },
```

```
[benchmark> db.point data.find()
Ε
[ {
    id: ObjectId("644e2207998e4b6385feb23a"),
    doc_id: 'day_host_7_20230401_00_cpu',
    key_id: '20230401_00',
    measurement: 'cpu',
    tags: {
      rack: '44',
      arch: 'x64',
      team: 'LON',
      service_version: '1',
      service_environment: 'test',
      hostname: 'host_7',
      region: 'eu-west-1',
      datacenter: 'eu-west-1c',
      os: 'Ubuntu16.10'.
      service: '7'
    },
    events: [
      E
          usage_nice: 9,
          usage_iowait: 31,
          usage_irq: 1,
          usage_softirq: 2,
          usage guest nice: 69,
          time: ISODate("2023-04-01T00:00:00.000Z"),
          usage_user: 92,
          usage_system: 35,
          usage_idle: 99,
          usage_steal: 24,
          usage_guest: 96
        }.
        null,
        null,
        null,
        null.
        null,
        null,
        nu11,
        null.
        null,
        £
          usage softirg: 2,
          usage_steal: 23,
          usage_guest_nice: 68,
          usage_system: 37,
          usage_idle: 100,
          usage_nice: 7,
          usage_irq: 1,
          usage user: 91,
          usage_iowait: 31,
          usage_guest: 97,
          time: ISODate("2023-04-01T00:00:10.000Z")
```

Naive vs Recommended

The default and straightforward method in storing data in MongoDB is to store them in document as MongoDB is a document database . So, MongoDB naive is where each reading is stored in a document.

The results of data write and queries were very bad compared to other databases . I found a better approach to store time series data in MongoDB. The better approach is to aggregate the time series data in a group based on a time. For E.g. : for each device, a document is created for every hour. So, this contains is a matrix with 60*60 (minutes and seconds) as the data is updated constantly. These data for an hour is stored as one document for a particular device. The document is updated accordingly when a reading is done and so there is no need to make a new document for every reading.

This allows the overall structure of data to be simple and efficient. We can see from the result that there is huge difference in efficiency in the recommended method. The data loading took almost half a time (246 vs 123) as because of aggregation, the data can be quickly inserted into the database .This grouped data can also be filtered quickly as we don't have to go to every document for query execution. So lesser documents result in the recommended method outperforming the naive method in most of the queries by a big margin.

TimeScaleDB

- TimeScaleDB is built on PostgreSQL and is specifically designed for handling time series data.
- Timescale DB supports SQL and has a wide range of features like Group By functions and JOINS.
- This makes timescableDB a good choice for storing and querying time series data.
- Timescale DB uses a unique hypertable concept to partition data across time, which allows for efficient querying and analysis of time series data.

TimeScaleDB

- In my benchmarking, I have used chunk size as 12 hours and total duration of data is 3 days. So there will be 6 hyper tables created of duration 12 hrs and all the data will be divided and stored according to the tampstamp.
- Because of this TimeScale DB performed better than MongoDb and influxDB for queries like lastpoint and groupby as the grouping of data in chunks make it faster and efficient to query .
- But, the performance was worse for double-groupby query and comparatively bad for cpu-max query. But overall it beats majority of the databases in the benchmarking.

InfluxDB

> SELECT * FROM cpu LIMIT 10 OFFSET 10																	
time		arch	datacenter	hostnam	e os	rack	region	service	service environment	service version	team	usade duest	usage quest nice	usage idle	usage iowait	usage irg	usage n
ice usage_so	ftirg usa	ge_s	teal usage_syst	em usage	user				_								
168030720000	0000000	(64	ap-northeast-1a	host_31	 B Ubuntu16.10	75	ap-northeast-1	5	staging	1	CHI	16	35	16	5	36	75
40 1680307200000	00000000 72	(64	ap-northeast-1a	44 host_32	1 Ubuntu16.10	8	ap-northeast-1	6	production	1	SF	6	89	27	20	76	71
168030720000	0000000	(64	ap-northeast-1a	host_32	6 Ubuntu16.04LTS	16	ap-northeast-1	13	test	1	SF	46	86	67	22	90	62
168030720000	0000000	(64	ap-northeast-1a	host_34	5 Ubuntu16.04LTS	73	ap-northeast-1	3	test	0	SF	36	57	66	21	9	48
168030720000 62	0000000 x 90	(64	ap-northeast-1a 97	host_379	9 Ubuntu15.10	10	ap-northeast-1	17	production	0	NYC	55	61	29	47	23	8
168030720000 76	0000000 x 68	(64	ap-northeast-1a 3	host_38: 83	2 Ubuntu15.10	66	ap-northeast-1	19	staging	0	SF	50	43	75	93	99	99
168030720000 87	0000000 x 89	(64	ap-northeast-1a 47	host_38 34	7 Ubuntu16.10	47	ap-northeast-1	11	test	1	SF	46	30	31	18	83	37
1680307200000 74	0000000 x 39	(64	ap-northeast-1a 90	host_39: 66	2 Ubuntu15.10	16	ap-northeast-1	0	staging	0	CHI	30	19	30	80	65	29
168030720000 9	0000000 x 28	(64	ap-northeast-1a 74	host_43: 89	3 Ubuntu15.10	35	ap-northeast-1	1	test	0	SF	21	50	31	18	46	0
168030720000 29	0000000 x 23	(64	ap-northeast-1a 12	host_50: 36	2 Ubuntu16.10	78	ap-northeast-1	9	production	1	CHI	68	96	8	59	14	27

InfluxDB

- InfluxDB is built around the concept of time-stamped data, which makes it easy to store and query time series data.
- InfluxDB includes features such as retention policies, which allow you to automatically expire old data, and continuous queries, which allow you to pre-aggregate data for faster queries.
- The TSM Tree storage engine of InfluxDB helps in storing the data by data points in a chronological order.
- This data is organized in sorted key value pairs with each each pair corresponds to a specific timestamp. Depending on the query, the data can be retrieved for the time range by looping over the key-value points.

InfluxDB

- But, InfluxDB does not allow joins, which makes queries using joins perform worse as the code has to be made without Joins to get the desired result.
- Also, InfluxDB works only with recent data (depending on the retention rate) and so grouping can be done with recent time only, which makes it slow for groubpy queries.
- I used InfluxDB version 1 for this benchmarking as that's the only supported one, which may have impacted the results as the later version have optimizations.
- While InfluxDB read performance is the best amongst the databases, its performance is bad for query performance.
- InfluxDB performs worse for the groupby-orderby queries and that is because of not using joins, so it has to take a longer route to loop the data and get the results.
- It also took InfluxDB more time to find the latest reading of the data as it performed worse than TimeScaleDB and QuestDB.

QuestDB

- Like TimeScaleDB, QuestDB also uses SQL and other operations that are specifically designed for aggregation and querying time series data.
- Questdb has special functions for time series data : ASOF JOIN: Allows you to join two tables based on a specific timestamp.
 SAMPLE BY: Allows you to aggregate data by time intervals.
 LATEST ON: Allows you to get the most recent value for a given timestamp.
 AGGREGATES: Supports aggregates like MIN, MAX, AVG, and SUM.
- Another important advantage of QuestDB is that the data is stored in column and not rows and fields like timestamp are accessed by column, so this makes querying fast.
- Questdb partitions data by time, allowing efficient querying.

QuestDB

- QuestDB outperforms other Databases because of its special features. 'Latest On' operator lets Quest DB win in the last point query .
- QuestDB wins by a big ratio in other group by queries ,showing how the storage and partitioning system with other features designed specially for data series data makes QuestDb a better choice for time series data.

Conclusion

- All four databases have their own advantages and disadvantages and so choosing the right database for time series data depends on factors like data size ,simplicity,time and resources.
- While MongoDb is the worst performant ,it is a good choice if the developers have expertise in NoSQL database and familiar with MongoDB.
- InfluxDB is not open source ,so for multi node requirements it can be little costly. Also, it will take time to learn InfluxDB as it's not a simple database.
- TimeScaleDB is a optimal choice as its support for SQL and have better read and write performance as seen in this benchmarking
- Questdb also uses SQL and other special operators and is specially designed for time series data making it a optimal choice based on the the results of this benchmark and other databases used in performance evaluation.
- Comparing NoSQL database i.e. MongoDB with specific time series databases, we can conclude that its a good choice to use time series database depending on the ease of use and functionality than MongoDB.
- But ,we the application is already using MongoDB for non-time series storage ,then it can be a good option to use MongoDB for time-series data and so the developers don't have to spent time learning new database and storing data in it .
- Also, if the developers are proficient in SQl queries, then its better to use time series data as they can run SQL series as we can see from the database and this benchmarking.