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Abstract

This paper summarizes a semester of individual research on NVIDIA CUDA programming and global illumination. What started out as an attempt to update a CPU-based radiosity engine from a previous graphics class evolved into an exploration of other lighting techniques and models (collectively known as global illumination) and other graphics-based languages (OpenCL and GLSL). After several attempts and roadblocks, the final software project is a CUDA-based port of David Bucciarelli’s SmallPt GPU, which itself is an OpenCL-based port of Kevin Beason’s smallpt. The paper concludes with potential additions and alterations to the project.
CUDA-Based Global Illumination

Accurately representing lighting in computer graphics has been a topic that spans many fields and applications: mock-ups for architecture, environments in video games and computer generated images in movies to name a few (Dutré). One of the biggest issues with performing lighting calculations is that they typically take an enormous amount of time and resources to calculate accurately (Teoh). There have been many advances in lighting algorithms to reduce the time spent in calculations. One common approach is to approximate a solution rather than perform an exhaustive calculation of a true solution. The evolution of multi-core graphics cards has also proved very interesting. What once was computed on a single or dual-core CPU can now be offloaded to several-hundred to several-thousand-core GPUs. While each individual GPU core may not be as fast as a single CPU core, parallelization of work can greatly reduce time needed to compute for properly designed algorithms (Luebke).

The purpose of this project is to gain experience with CUDA as a general purpose computing platform via a global illumination engine.

Background

What is Global Illumination?

First we break down the term "global illumination." Because the final visualization of an object in a scene is dependent on the light that hits it from the whole environment, we say it is "global." "Illumination" is used because we are strictly discussing how light interacts with these objects in a scene (Dutré). It is important to note that there are two main approaches to approximating true global illumination: radiosity and ray tracing. Ray tracing is preferred for specular highlights because individual rays are traced from the eye through each pixel in a frame.
and are reflected about a scene to compute a final color. Unfortunately, we do not live in glass houses made of perfectly shiny materials. Traditional ray tracing largely ignores diffuse reflections. This is where radiosity comes into play: radiosity deals with the diffuse reflections and bleeding of colors amongst objects by simulating light bouncing off objects. However, radiosity largely ignores specular reflections (Teoh). As we will see in the final project, we can blend both ray tracing and radiosity to create very realistic computer generated images.

The Cornell Box

The Cornell Box originated from the Cornell University Program of Computer Graphics in 1984. It was featured in a SIGGRAPH paper *Modeling the Interaction of Light Between Diffuse Surfaces*. The basic structure is described as a cubic room with a red wall on the left and a green or blue wall on the right. The ceiling, floor and back wall are typically white. There is a single light in the center of the ceiling to illuminate the room. Although the original Cornell Box was a room without any objects, it has been infinitely modified and is widely considered the standard for multiple graphics simulations. Cubes, spheres, water, dragons and bunnies made from a variety of diffuse, reflective and refractive materials, can be found neatly housed in a Cornell Box simply by searching Google Images for "Cornell Box" (Teoh).

Why CUDA?

From the start, I was biased because I have always purchased NVIDIA graphics cards for my personal computers and had recently purchased a Razer Blade laptop with a GeForce 765M NVIDIA card. The two leaders for general purpose GPU computing at the time I was doing preliminary research (summer of 2013) were OpenCL (widely supported on ATI and NVIDIA graphics cards) and CUDA (NVIDIA only). Unfortunately, the online documentation for OpenCL was a mess at this time; there were broken links and conflicting information on
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different webpage's. CUDA, however, had a very nice developer's webpage and there were several modern books and resources available. For these reasons, I choose to pursue CUDA as the primary language for this project.

Monte Carlo Sampling

One of the important techniques used in the global illumination technique used in the final project is Monte Carlo sampling. In this application, when a ray intersects an object, it is bounced off at a random angle. By taking an average of multiple random samples, we converge on the solution. Although this idea is easy to explain, in practice it converges at a rate of \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \) for \( N \) samples (Dutré). This is one potential cause for the graininess that is visible in the final images of the original smallpt algorithm seen below in Figure 1:

![Graininess of smallpt generated images. This figure depicts a drawback to Monte Carlo methods.](image)

It is appropriate to point out some of the run times of the CPU variant of smallpt here. A reasonable sample takes about five minutes to compute using four CPU threads (approximately 200 samples) (Beason). To put this in perspective, last year when I took CS 116B I created a
CPU-based radiosity engine which followed the traditional radiosity approach. It took five minutes to create the image in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Traditional CPU-based Radiosity. Image took five minutes to generate.](image)

**Traditional Radiosity**

Traditional radiosity involves computing the color for each patch of each surface by summing the light that it "sees." As can be seen in Figure 2, each surface is subdivided into many smaller patches. One can move the camera from each patch and sample the light that hits it from every other patch (the gathering phase). This is typically implemented by rendering each patch with a unique color ID (Teoh) and solving the radiosity equation explained below in Figure 3:
Figure 3. The Radiosity Equation. How to calculate the color of a patch (Spencer).

Starting by emitting light only from light sources and then letting the light "bounce" around the scene, actual lighting can be approximated given enough iterations. As one could imagine, rendering the scene from every patch can be very costly with respect to time.

Development

Initial Approach and Evolution

My initial approach was to calculate all of the patch colors in CUDA. This proved to be more troublesome than initially anticipated. One of the improvements I was trying to implement to optimize for time was the idea of adaptive subdivision. Rather than subdividing each surface into a fixed grid of patches, I would start with a rather low resolution quad tree for each surface and subdivide as needed when patches differed too much (e.g., corners and sharp shadows) (Coombe). Learning CUDA and implementing an unfamiliar algorithm proved more time consuming than anticipated; I moved on to evolving my approach.
I began working on the Udacity course for parallel programming to introduce me to CUDA. One of the most frustrating things was that CUDA code was not running on my computer despite having a NVIDIA graphics card. I finally figured out that NVIDIA Optimus technology automatically switches between integrated and dedicated graphics to optimize battery life. Once I forced Visual Studio to use the dedicated card, CUDA samples and programming worked (Luebke). While I was conducting the research for this paper, I was concurrently employed as a grader for CS 116B being taught by Dr. Pollett. When I took CS 116B a year ago under Dr. Teoh, the course focused on OpenGL 1.x and 2.x. Dr. Pollett's 116B focused on using modern OpenGL in tandem with GLSL. This provided new insights and approaches to solving the problems that had arisen. I spent some time learning basic GLSL for grading as well as attempting to implement a radiosity fragment and vertex shader from scratch (Gortler). This also proved to be a very time consuming task and very difficult to balance with my final semester. With deadlines quickly approaching, it was time to focus back on CUDA.

I found a wonderful project named smallpt by Beason. As its name implies, it is global illumination in 99 lines of C++ code. What was most beneficial was that Bucciarelli had spent the time implementing it in OpenCL. After discussing with Dr. Pollett, I switched gears to implementing a port of SmallPt GPU to CUDA. This closely followed my original intent of learning CUDA by implementing a global illumination engine. This would also serve as a nice opportunity to compare OpenCL and CUDA.
Final Implementation

Porting to CUDA

There are many minor but necessary changes to make when converting the syntax of OpenCL to CUDA. Most of these conversions are covered in depth in the AMD document (AMD). However, it is important to note that a one-to-one port is not efficient or practical due to the structure of both of these languages. OpenCL gives direct access to many low level features of graphics cards. As such, some of its syntax can be lengthy and cumbersome. Consider this code snippet for executing a kernel (GPU program) in OpenCL:

```c
static void ExecuteKernel() {
    /* Enqueue a kernel run call */
    size_t globalThreads[1];
    globalThreads[0] = width * height;
    if (globalThreads[0] % workGroupSize != 0)
        globalThreads[0] = (globalThreads[0] / workGroupSize + 1) * workGroupSize;
    size_t localThreads[1];
    localThreads[0] = workGroupSize;

    cl_int status = clEnqueueNDRangeKernel(
        commandQueue,
        kernel,
        1,
        NULL,
        globalThreads,
        localThreads,
        0,
        NULL,
        NULL);
    if (status != CL_SUCCESS) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Failed to enqueue OpenCL work: %d\n", status);
        exit(-1);
    }
}
```

Now consider the equivalent function in CUDA 5.5:

```c
static void executeKernel() {
    // prepare kernel call
    dim3 blockDims(512,1,1);
    dim3 gridDims((unsigned int) ceil((double)(width*height/blockDims.x)), 1, 1);

    // Run the kernel
    if (runTest) colorWheelKernel<<<gridDims, blockDims>>>(d_iobuffer, width, height);
    else radianceKernel<<<gridDims, blockDims>>>(d_iobuffer, d_seeds, d_camera, d_spheres, width, height, sphereCount, currentSample);
}
```
Almost immediately, one notices that CUDA 5.5 syntax is much more succinct than OpenCL. Whereas OpenCL sets up and manages queues to process commands, a CUDA kernel is simply called via syntax very similar to a function call in C/C++. The only difference is some extra parameters in `<<<gridDims, blockDims >>>`, which help define the structure of how the CUDA cores should process the data. Overall, I was able to reduce ~1450 lines of code in OpenCL over three files (geomfunc.h, smallptGPU.c, and rendering_kernel.cl) to ~750 lines of code while adding extensive comments in one file (SmallPtCUDA.cu). This is roughly a source code reduction of about half to write in CUDA 5.5 when compared to OpenCL.

**CUDA Memory Structure**

CUDA organizes its threads as such: a three-dimensional grid of blocks (grid); each block is a three-dimensional grid of threads (block). A two-dimensional representation is visualized below in Figure 4:

![Figure 4](image)

*Figure 4. Grids, Blocks, and Threads in CUDA. CUDA thread organization (Sanders).*
It must be noted that GPU (DEVICE) memory and CPU (HOST) memory are not unified in CUDA 5.5 (however, there is a unified model for CUDA 6). Due to this, one of the most lengthy processes of GPU computation is copying data back and forth (Luebke). The general process of preparing, executing and retrieving results from a CUDA kernel is as follows:

1. Allocate DEVICE memory
2. Copy HOST data to DEVICE
3. Call the kernel
4. Copy computed DEVICE data back to HOST memory

CUDA 5.5 makes it very simple to complete these steps. An example is shown below with a frame buffer object containing the pixel color data:

```c
// allocate DEVICE input / output buffers
glError(cudaMalloc( (void**)&d_iobuffer, frameBuffer.size() * sizeof(frameBuffer[0])));

// copy input from HOST to DEVICE
glError(cudaMemcpy(d_iobuffer, &frameBuffer[0], frameBuffer.size() * sizeof(frameBuffer[0]), cudaMemcpyHostToDevice));

// prepare kernel call
dim3 blockDims(512,1,1);
dim3 gridDims((unsigned int) ceil((double)(width*height/blockDims.x)), 1, 1);

// Run the kernel
if (runTest) colorWheelKernel<<<gridDims, blockDims>>>(d_iobuffer, width, height);
else radianceKernel<<<gridDims, blockDims>>>(d_iobuffer, d_seeds, d_camera, d_spheres, width, height, sphereCount, currentSample);

// copy output from DEVICE to HOST
glError(cudaMemcpy(&frameBuffer[0], d_iobuffer, frameBuffer.size() * sizeof(frameBuffer[0]), cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost));
```

Once in a CUDA kernel, it is important to know what data should be accessed. Parallel CUDA kernels are written in a very similar fashion to a serial C program. Let's analyze the kernel below:

```c
__global__
void colorWheelKernel(unsigned int* buffer, int width, int height) {
    // calculate position in array
    unsigned int offset = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;

    // used to update 4 bytes of an int
    unsigned char* aByte;
```
// point to beginning of desired pixel
aByte = (unsigned char *) &buffer[offset];

// update color
switch (aByte[3]) {
  ...
}

The first difference is the __global__ keyword. All this means is that it is a GPU function that is called from CPU memory space. The next three unknown calls are blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x. These give access to the grid, block, and thread number. As long as data has been sent from the CPU in an ordered way, it should be very easy to create a unique offset similar to accessing a two-dimensional coordinate in a one-dimensional array (screen position by division and modulo operators).

The next bit of code allows us to access each byte of an integer. In the OpenGL area of the source code, we render pixel color as unsigned bytes in RGBA format. To easily transfer memory back and forth from the CPU and GPU, we copy it as 32-bit (four-byte) integer. In this way, we are able to keep the length of the pixel buffer equal to the number of pixels.

The Algorithm

The idea of smallpt is to combine both a ray tracer and radiosity with Monte Carlo sampling. For each pass of the algorithm, a ray is shot from the camera through a pixel on the imaginary frame buffer. This ray is traced through up to six bounces in the scene. Depending on what material is hit (specular, diffuse, or refractive), the color and reflected or refracted ray will vary. When the ray bounces, it goes in a random direction based on a given seed. Multiple passes are preformed over time and the results are aggregated and averaged. A benefit that arises from this approach is that the scene, lights, and camera can all be dynamic because the sampling
process will simply reset itself. Once objects in the scene are at rest, the rendering process continues to sample and improve the final image.

**Scenes**

Scenes are defined in *smallpt* as a series of spheres (Beason). Each sphere is described with a radius, position, emission, color and material. The default modified Cornell Box test image is described below:

```c
#define WALL_RAD 1e4f
static Sphere CornellSpheres[] = { /* Scene: radius, position, emission, color, material */
  { WALL_RAD, {WALL_RAD + 1.f, 40.8f, 81.6f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.75f, .25f, .25f}, DIFF }, /*Left*/
  { WALL_RAD, {-WALL_RAD + 99.f, 40.8f, 81.6f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.25f, .25f, .75f}, DIFF }, /*Rght*/
  { WALL_RAD, {50.f, 40.8f, WALL_RAD}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.75f, .75f, .75f}, DIFF }, /* Back */
  { WALL_RAD, {50.f, 40.8f, -WALL_RAD + 270.f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.75f, .75f, 0.f}, DIFF }, /* Frnt */
  { WALL_RAD, {50.f, WALL_RAD, 81.6f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.25f, .25f, .25f}, DIFF }, /* Botm */
  { WALL_RAD, {50.f, -WALL_RAD + 81.6f, 81.6f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.75f, .75f, .75f}, DIFF }, /*Top*/
  { 16.5f, {27.f, 16.5f, 47.f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.9f, .9f, .9f}, SPEC }, /* Mirr */
  { 16.5f, {73.f, 16.5f, 78.f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, {.9f, .9f, .9f}, REFRACTION }, /* Glas*/
  { 5.f, {50.f, 81.6f - 15.f, 81.6f}, {30.f, 30.f, 30.f}, {0.f, 0.f, 0.f}, DIFF } /* Light */
};
```

This lends itself nicely to defining new scenes by simply generating a text file like the one below:

```plaintext
camera 20 100 300  0 25 0
size 5
sphere 1000  0 -1000 0 0 0 0 0.75 0.75 0.75 0
sphere 10    35 15 0    0 0 0     0 0 0.9 0         2
sphere 15   -35 20 0    0 0 0     0 0.9 0         2
sphere 20    0 25 -35   0 0 0     0 0 0.9         2
sphere 8     0 60 0     15 15 15 0 0 0           0
```

The first line is a camera location and where it is looking. The next line defines the number of spheres in the scene. The subsequent lines define the properties of each sphere in the scene similarly to the source file that describes the Cornell Box. Two sample images can be seen below in Figure 5:
Figure 5. SmallPtCUDA alternate scene renderings. (Left) Moving camera and objects to see caustics on wall of Cornell Box. (Right) Sphere loaded from scene file: clear sphere over floor.

Results

Using the CPU-based smallpt as a base (which took five minutes to reach 200 samples on a 800x600 pixel window), the GPU-based CUDA only takes fifteen seconds to sample 200 times on a much higher resolution 1024x768 pixel window. As with other smallpt implementations, a reasonable approximation is visualized around 200 samples for this scene. Through continuous sampling, it is clear that reflective materials, such as the left sphere, continue to improve in quality and color (e.g. the yellow back wall becomes more clear in the 21K sample render).
Figure 6. <1 second, 4 samples.

Figure 7. 4 seconds, ~50 samples.
Figure 8. 15 seconds, 200 samples.

Figure 9. 10 minutes, ~8K samples.
Conclusions and Reflections

Over the course of this semester, I found my greatest challenge was clearly defining my project. I knew I wanted to explore CUDA and global illumination, but I kept getting distracted by minute details of the implementation and lost sight of my original intent several times. Overall, I am happy with the final project and hope to continue research and learning about general purpose GPU computing after I graduate. Possible improvements are suggested in the next section for individuals interested in continuing where I have left off.

Suggested Improvements

One improvement to this project would be to couple OpenGL and CUDA more tightly to render the image to the screen rather than using it as a computation engine. A technique similar to what is described in NVIDIA's power point could be used (Stam). This would theoretically reduce the time spent copying memory back and forth between main memory and GPU and
could potentially even be eliminated beyond the initial configuration and allocation of memory. Another improvement would be the support of different geometries beyond spheres. Triangles and cylinders would help create more realistic scenes. Yet another improvement would involve testing and finding out exactly what division of labor works best with respect to block and grid dimensions. A third optimization is to come back to the *smallpt* algorithm and update it with any optimizations that may be CUDA specific (GPU built-in functions, passing parameters as const, etc...). Also, there is a loss of precision caused by floating-point numbers' conversion to unsigned bytes in the *smallpt* algorithm. Finally, it would be very beneficial to run benchmarks between OpenCL, CUDA and GLSL implementations of *smallpt* as long as care is taken to ensure that each implementation is not algorithmically biased.
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