

#1

$$\begin{array}{lll} x_1 = 1 & n_1 = 2 & m_1 = 15 \\ x_2 = 4 & n_2 = 5 & m_2 = 6 \\ x_3 = 2 & n_3 = 3 & m_3 = 10 \end{array}$$

$$n = n_1 n_2 n_3 = 30$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 15^{-1} &= 1 \pmod{2} \\ 6^{-1} &= 1 \pmod{5} \\ 10^{-1} &= 1 \pmod{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore m_1^{-1} = 1, m_2^{-1} = 1, m_3^{-1} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore C_1 &= 15 \times (1 \pmod{2}) = 15 \\ C_2 &= 6 \times (1 \pmod{5}) = 6 \\ C_3 &= 10 \times (1 \pmod{3}) = 10 \end{aligned}$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i C_i$$

$$= 1 \times 15 + 4 \times 6 + 2 \times 10 \pmod{30}$$

$$= 15 + 24 + 20 \pmod{30}$$

$$= 59 \pmod{30}$$

$$= 29 \pmod{30}$$

Hence, the solution of the given equations are of the form $29 + 30 \times (n)$ for $n \geq 0$

3.

1) give the RSA transformation P and S .

$$P(M) = M^e \pmod{n}, \quad S(C) = C^d \pmod{n} \quad 0 \leq M < n$$

e is relatively prime to $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$
compute the multiplicative inverse d of $e \pmod{\phi(n)}$

2) Prove the transformations are inverse of each other.

Proof:

~~Let~~ $P(S(M)) = S(P(M)) = M^{ed} \pmod{n}$. Since e and d are multiplicative inverses modulo $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$,
 $ed = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1)$
for some k . If $M \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, then $M^{ed} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.
So we are done.

If M is not congruent to $0 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$M^{ed} \equiv M(M^{p-1})^{k(q-1)} \pmod{p}$$

$$= M(1)^{k(q-1)} \pmod{p}$$

$$= M \pmod{p}$$

and a similar result holds mod q . By the Chinese remainder theorem, this implies $M^{ed} \equiv M \pmod{n}$.

4.

Suppose we are given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k . The certificate we choose is the vertex cover $V' \subseteq V$ itself. The verification algorithm affirms that $|V'| = k$, and then it checks, for each edge $(u, v) \in E$, that $u \in V'$ or $v \in V'$. This verification can be performed in polynomial time.

Why polynomial time verification?

There are $|V|$ vertices, $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|V|}$, checking each v_i has an edge to the vertex cover V' , which has the size at most k , can be done in quadratic time.

5. Suppose φ is an instance of SAT with n variables.

Consider the following formula: $F := (\varphi \wedge a) \vee (\varphi \wedge b) \vee (\neg \varphi \wedge a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n})$

Here

If φ is satisfiable, let v be a minimal assignment s.t. $v(\varphi) = T$. Then if either $v \cup \{v(a) = T, v(b) = F\}$

$v \cup \{v(a) = F, v(b) = T\}$ is a minimal assignment making $v(F) = T$. Notice the minimal assignment v' with $v'(\neg \varphi \wedge a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n}) = T$ must set at least $2n$ var's true w/c is more than v does.

On the other hand, if φ is unsatisfiable, the only way to make F true is to make

$(\neg \varphi \wedge a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n})$ true

The assignment w/c makes each var in φ false and each a_i true will be the unique minimal assignment.

F can be computed from φ in polynomial time so this gives the reduction.

6. Prove TAUTOLOGY is co-NP-complete.

Let ϕ be a boolean formula.

$\text{TAUTOLOGY} = \{ \phi \mid \phi \text{ is always true} \}$

The language is coNP if its complement is in NP.

$\overline{\text{TAUTOLOGY}} = \{ \phi \mid \phi \text{ is false} \}$.

An algorithm can be designed that guesses the truth assignment and checks that it is unsatisfiable and evaluating a formula can be done in polynomial time.

So, $\overline{\text{TAUTOLOGY}} \in \text{NP}$.

Show that $\overline{\text{TAUTOLOGY}}$ is NP-HARD.

$\text{SAT} \leq_p \overline{\text{TAUTOLOGY}}$

If ϕ is satisfiable, then it is not always false.

$L \in \text{coNP}$.

We'd like to show $L \leq_p \text{TAUT} \Rightarrow \exists f \ x \in L$
iff $f(x) \in \text{TAUT}$.

We showed $\exists g \ x \in \text{SAT}$ iff $g(x) \in \overline{\text{TAUT}}$.

Consider \bar{L} , we know $\bar{L} \in \text{NP}$.

$\therefore \exists f'$ s.t.

$x \in \bar{L}$ iff $f'(x) \in \text{SAT}$ iff $g(f'(x)) \in \overline{\text{TAUT}}$
 $\Rightarrow x \in L$ iff $g(f'(x)) \in \text{TAUT}$.

(Q.7) Prove the TSP where the cost function satisfies the triangle inequality has a 2 approximation scheme.

Consider graph $G = (V, E)$.

A minimal spanning tree algorithm runs in $O(|V|^2)$ time. The remaining steps take $O(|G|)$ time.

Let H^* denote the optimal tour of vertices. We obtain a spanning tree from any tour by deleting an edge, we have, $C(T) \leq C(H^*)$ where T is a minimal spanning tree.

A full-walk F of T lists the vertices when they are first visited and also whenever they are returned to after a visit to a subtree.

$$\therefore C(F) = 2 \cdot C(T) \leq 2 \cdot C(H^*)$$

On the other hand, the H returned by the algorithm satisfies,

⑧ Give an $\frac{7}{8}$ -approximation scheme for MAX-3-CNF.

Randomly set each variable in the MAX-3-CNF instance to 1 or 0 with probability of $\frac{1}{2}$ in each case. This is a "randomized" $\frac{7}{8}$ -approximation algorithm.

Let $Y_i = \mathbb{I} \{ \text{clause } i \text{ is satisfied} \}$
 $Y_i = 1$ as long as at least one of the literals in the i^{th} clause is set to 1.

So a clause is not satisfied only if all 3 of its literals are set to 0.

So $\Pr \{ \text{clause } i \text{ is not satisfied} \} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{8}$

Thus: $\Pr \{ \text{clause } i \text{ is } \blacksquare \text{ satisfied} \} = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8}$
 $\Rightarrow E[Y_i] = \frac{7}{8}$

Let $Y = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_m$

By linearity of expectations

$$E[Y] = E \left[\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^m E[Y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{7}{8} = \frac{7m}{8}$$

m is the upper bound on the # of satisfied clauses, hence the approximation ratio is at

$$\text{most } \frac{m}{\left(\frac{7m}{8}\right)} = \frac{8}{7}$$

- ① Carmichael # = odd composite n which satisfies Fermat's little theorem

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Pseudoprime

Composite # that passes a test on sequence of test that fails for most composite numbers

A number is pseudoprime for a , if it is composite but $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

- ② When we prove that n cannot be a prime power.

It is also used in case when

$x = \langle \dots, d, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$ where $d \neq \pm 1$
and d is a nontrivial square root of 1.

Q10. To prove : $\{x \mid x \text{ is a PRIME}\}$ is in co-NP

co-NP is defined as set of languages L such that $\bar{L} \in \text{NP}$

COMPOSITE is NP as one can decide compositeness by non-deterministically guessing a factor

$\therefore \text{COMPOSITE} \in \text{NP}$

$\Rightarrow \overline{\text{PRIME}} \in \text{NP}$

$\therefore \text{PRIME} \in \text{co-NP}$

Hence $\{x \mid x \text{ is a PRIME}\}$ is in co-NP