

# BPP and Circuits.

CS254

Chris Pollett

Nov. 8, 2006.

# Outline

- The class BPP
- Robustness
- Polynomial size circuits

# BPP Motivation

- On Monday we introduced the classes RP, ZPP, and PP.
- Of these, RP and ZPP are realistic models.
- We could imagine using some kind of coin flips to do the nondeterministic choices along one path.
- By running the algorithm repeatedly we could get a good idea if a string was in the language or not.
- PP, on the other hand, has the virtue of having a nice syntactic definition, but it is not realistic.
- The reason is one could imagine situations where  $x$  being in languages probability  $1/2 + 2^{-p(|x|)}$ . It is hard to then distinguish this from the case that only  $1/2$  of path accept which would be rejecting.

# Chernoff Bounds

- To analyze the notion of repeated runs more carefully, it is useful to make use of an inequality called Chernoff Bounds.

**Lemma (Chernoff).** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables taking the values 1 and 0 with probabilities  $p$  and  $1-p$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then for all  $0 \leq c \leq 1$ ,

$$\text{prob}[X \geq (1+c)pn] \leq e^{-(c^2pn)/2}.$$

# Proof of Lemma

If  $t$  is a positive real number, then

$$\text{prob}[X \geq (1+c)pn] = \text{prob}[e^{tX} \geq e^{t(1+c)pn}] \quad (*)$$

By Markov's Inequality,

$$\text{prob}[e^{tX} \cdot E(e^{tX})] \leq 1/k \text{ for any real } k > 0.$$

Taking  $k = e^{t(1+c)pn} / [E(e^{tX})]$  and using (\*) gives

$$\text{prob}[X \geq (1+c)pn] \leq [E(e^{tX})] \cdot e^{-t(1+c)pn}. \quad (**)$$

Since  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , we have  $E(e^{tX}) = [E(e^{tx_1})]^n$  which in turn equals  $(1 + p(e^t - 1))^n$ . Substituting this into (\*\*) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prob}[X \geq (1+c)pn] &\leq (1 + p(e^t - 1))^n \cdot e^{-t(1+c)pn} \\ &\leq e^{-t(1+c)pn} \cdot e^{pn(e^t - 1)}, \text{ since } (1+a)^n \leq e^{an}. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $t = \ln(1+c)$  to get  $\text{prob}[X \geq (1+c)pn] \leq e^{pn(c - (1+c)\ln(1+c))}$ .

Taylor expanding  $\ln(1+c)$  as  $c - c^2/2 + \dots$  and substituting gives the result. i/e.,  $e^{pn(c - (1+c)\ln(1+c))} \leq e^{pn(c - (1+c)(c - c^2/2 + c^3/3 + \dots))} \leq e^{-c^2 pn/2}$

# A Corollary

**Cor.** If  $p=1/2 + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon>0$ , then the probability that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq n/2$  is at most  $e^{-\varepsilon^2 n/4}$

**Proof.** Take  $c = \varepsilon/(1/2+ \varepsilon)$ . Q.E.D.

So if an experiment has a biased output we can hope to detect this after  $1/\varepsilon^2$  experiments. For a probability like  $2^{-p(n)}$  that we need in the case of PP, this is exponentially small and this is why it is not realistic.

# BPP

**Defn.** The class BPP contains those languages  $L$  for which there is a  $p$ -time NTM  $N$  with the property that for all inputs  $x$ , if  $x$  is in  $L$  then at least  $3/4$  of  $N$ 's branches accept and if  $x$  is not in  $L$ , then  $3/4$ 's of  $N$ 's branches reject.

# Robustness

- Notice if we had chosen  $1/2+\epsilon$  in the definition for some  $0 < \epsilon < 1/4$ , in our definition, then it would not have made a difference.
- Let  $k = \lceil 4 \ln 2 / (\epsilon^2) \rceil$ . Run the machine that accepts  $L$  according to the probabilities  $1/2+\epsilon$  a total of  $2k+1$  times and accept the majority of the outcomes.
- So by Chernoff bounds, the odds that the majority vote of these runs is wrong is at most
$$e^{-\epsilon^2(2k+1)/4} \leq e^{-\epsilon^2(2k)/4} = e^{-8 \ln 2 / 4} = 2^{-2} = 1/4.$$
- Thus, we will accept with the  $3/4$ 's probability if its in the languages and reject with  $3/4$  probability if its not.



# Relationships

- Notice by repeating an RP machine a couple of times we get a BPP machine for a language.
- Also any BPP machine for a language is also a PP machine for the same language.
- So  $RP \subseteq BPP \subseteq PP$ .
- BPP is a semantic class. This because for a L in BPP accepted by some N, we promise that one of the two possible outcomes for x has a clear majority of the N's branches.

# Polynomial Size Circuits

- We have already defined what an Boolean circuit is.
- The *size* of a circuit is the number of gates in it.
- We next would like to define what it means for a family of circuits to recognize a language.

**Defn.** A family of circuits is an infinite sequence  $(C_0, C_1, \dots)$  of Boolean circuits, where  $C_n$  has  $n$  input variables. We say a language  $L$  has polynomial size circuit, if there is a polynomial  $p$  such that  $\text{size}(C_n) \leq p(n)$  and  $C_n$  accepts exactly those strings in  $L$ .

# P is in P/Poly

- We call the class of languages with polynomial circuits P/poly.

**Thm.** All languages in P have polynomial size circuits.

**Proof.** This essentially follows from our proof that CVP is P-complete where rather than encode a particular  $x$  into the inputs we instead let its value come from variables.