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## More Functional Programming

- Last day, we began talking about functional programming.
- Basically, we defined what it means mathematically to be a function; and we distinguished between the notion of function application and function definition.
- In mathematics, variables always stand for actual values, there is no concept of memory location, and so you can change the value of a variable.
- In a pure functional programming language, we would similarly like that there are no variables, only constants, parameters (arguments to functions), and values.
- We build up programs by defining functions of increasing sophistication in terms of previously defined functions.
- The advantage to this approach would be that the language being close to mathematics would have program which would be easier to verify the correctness of.
- Most actual functional programming languages are not completely pure.


## What about loops?

- How can you do looping if you have a pure functional programming language?
for(int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<10 ; \mathrm{i}++)\{/ *$ do something */\}
- Seems to require variables; however, we can replace it with recursion:
void my_for(int i, int stop, int step) \{
if ( i < stop) \{ /* do something */ my_for(i, stop, i+ step)
\}
\}
my_for( $0,10,1$ );


## More on Pure Functional Programming

- If one does not have variables and assignment, there is no notion of internal state of a function: The value of a function only depends on its arguments.
- This is called referential transparency. I.e., can't use static variables in our functions.
- No assignment and referential transparency, imply the runtime environment can be kept simple: we only need to map names to values -- we don't have to worry about location. This is sometimes called value semantics.
- Another feature of pure functional languages is that functions are first class values. That is, they can be passed as arguments and returned as values.
- Functions that take functions as arguments or return arguments as values are called higher-order functions.


## Couldn't we just use C and write programs in a functional way?

- Structured values such as arrays and records cannot be returned values from functions. -- so we end up messing around with pointers and worrying about bounds on things like arrays.
- It is hard to build a value of a structured type directly. Functional language, like ML, provide direct mechanisms for creating recursive data type like binary trees, etc.
- Functions are not first class values. So it is hard to write the function $\mathrm{h}=\operatorname{comp}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g})$ a function which take functions $f$ and $g$ as arguments and outputs their composition.


## Scheme

- Scheme was developed in the 1970s at MIT as a variant of LISP for teaching purposes.
- Because the Common LISP standard was only adopted in the 1980s, almost 20+ years after the creation of the first LISP interpreters, and because it was a very big language, Scheme carved out a niche.
- Further, there was a very influential book from MIT: Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs (Abelson, Abelson, Sussman) that used it.


## Syntax of Scheme

- All programs in Scheme are expressions, expressions come in two varieties:
- atoms -- numbers, strings, names, functions, etc
- lists -- a sequence of expressions separated by spaces and surrounded by parentheses.
- Here is a grammar for expressions:
<expression> ::= <atom> | <list>
<atom> ::= <number> | <string> | <identifier> | <character>| <boolean>
<list> ::= '(' <expression-sequence> ')'
<expression-sequence> ::= <expression> <expressionsequence> | <expression>


## Expressions and Evaluation

42 - a number
"hello" - a string
\#t - a Boolean true
\#a - the character 'a'
(2.1 2.2 3.1) - a list of numbers
a - an identifier
hello - another identifier
$(+23)-a$ list consisting of the identifier " + " and two numbers
$(*(+23)(-43))$ - a list consisting of an identifier and two lists

- To evaluate expressions we use the rules:
- Constant atoms evaluate to themselves
- Identifiers are looked up in the current environment and replaced by the value found there
- A list is evaluated by first evaluating each of its elements. The first element in the list must evaluate to a function. This function is then applied to each of the remaining arguments.


## More on Evaluation

- So for example, + in (+23) evaluates to a procedure for addition, 2 evaluates to 2,3 evaluates to 3 . The procedure for addition is then applied to the rest of the list to get 5 .
- Evaluating all of the arguments before applying the function at the root of the expression (in this case + ) is called applicative order evaluation.
- Consider (2.1 2.2 2.3) . The number 2.1 is not a function, evaluating this list would give an error.
- To prevent a list from being evaluated you can write either (quote 2.12 .2 2.3) or in shorthand '(2.1 2.2 2.3).
- eval is the opposite operation so (eval (quote (+23))) yields 5 . Technically, in the official standard you need to write this as: (eval (quote (+23)) (scheme-report-environment 5)) where (scheme-reportenvironment 5) returns the environment binding provided by the version 5 revision of the ANSI standard.


## Conditionals in Scheme

- If statements:
(if (= a 0) (display "zero") (display "not zero"))
; displays echoes to current output
; semicolon is used for comments
- cond (sorta like switch/case):
(cond ((= a 0) (display "zero"))
((> a 0) (display "bigger than zero"))
((= a -1) (display "minus one"))
(else (display "less than-1")))
- Notice neither if or cond use applicative order evaluation. Instead, the use some kind of delayed evaluation.


## let

- Scheme has function called let which allows values to be given temporary names within an expression:
(let ((a 2) (b 3)) (+ab)); evaluates to 5
- The first expression within let is called a binding list.


## Adding things to the Scheme Environment

- The define function can be used to add new associations between names and values in Scheme:
(define a 2)
(define emptylist '())
(define (sum lo hi) ; could write: sum (lambda (lo hi) ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (if }(=\text { lo hi) } \\
& \quad \text { lo } \\
& \quad(+ \text { lo }(\operatorname{sum}(+\operatorname{lo} 1) \mathrm{hi}))))
\end{aligned}
$$

- Once something has been define can see its value are scheme prompt
$>$ (sum 3 5)
12

